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Working Party 3

**APT VIEW AND PRELIMINARY APT COMMON PROPOSAL**

**on WRC-19 agenda item 7 (issue k)**

**Agenda Item 7:**

*To consider possible changes, and other options, in response to Resolution****86 (Rev. Marrakesh, 2002)*** *of the Plenipotentiary Conference, an advance publication, coordination, notification and recording procedures for frequency assignments pertaining to satellite networks, in accordance with Resolution****86 (Rev.WRC‑07)****, in order to facilitate rational, efficient and economical use of radio frequencies and any associated orbits, including the geostationary‑satellite orbit.*

**Issue K – Difficulties for Part B examinations under § 4.1.12 or 4.2.16 of RR Appendices 30 and 30A and § 6.21 *c)* of RR Appendix 30B**

**1. Background**

Examination under § 6.21 *c)* of RR Appendix **30B** is based on the assignments for which the Bureau has previously received complete information in accordance with § 6.1 (i.e. Network SR-Part A) even though the Network SR-Part B has already been published under § 6.23 or § 6.25 with much reduced characteristics (e.g. reduced service area and coverage area) and from that Part B publication, Network SR-Part A no longer exists in the Appendix **30B** databases.

Examination under § 4.1.12 or § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A**in respect of Network SR is based on the assignments for which the Bureau has previously received complete information in accordance with § 4.1.3 or § 4.2.6 (i.e. Network SR-Part A) even though the Network SR-Part B has already been published under § 4.1.15 or § 4.2.19 with much reduced characteristics (e.g. reduced service area and coverage area) and from that Part B publication, Network SR-Part A no longer exists in the RR Appendices **30** and **30A** databases.

This creates difficulties to the notifying administration and may prevent its notice submitted under § 4.1.12 or § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** or § 6.17 of RR Appendix **30B** (Network JR-Part B) from entering into the List or Plan with favourable findings as the examination of its submission in respect of the senior network (Network SR-Part A) is unfavourable even though in reality, its network (Network JR-Part B) can coexist with the senior network in the List or Plan (Network SR-Part B) and if examination in respect of Network SR is based on its Part B, the examination result will become favourable.

**2. Documents**

* Input Documents APG19-5/, INP-44R1 (AUS), INP-51 (INS), INP-67 (CHN), INP-81(J), INP-108 (MLA, THA), INP-119 (VTN), INP-129 (KOR).
* Information Documents APG19-5/INF-01 (WMO), INF-18(CEPT), INF-19(ATU), INF-20(CITEL), INF-22(RCC).

**3. Summary of discussions**

**3.1 Summary of APT Members’ views**

**3.1.1 Australia** - **Document APG19-5/INP-44Rev.1**

Australia supports the single Method of the CPM Report text.

**3.1.2 Indonesia** - **Document APG19-5/INP-51**

Indonesia is of the view to support the single method in CPM Report which propose to add one more examination under § 4.1.12 and § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** and § 6.21 *c)* of RR Appendix **30B** such that should any remaining affected networks whose assignments have been entered in the List before the submission under § 4.1.12 and § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** or § 6.17 of RR Appendix **30B**, the Bureau shall further examine if the remaining corresponding assignments in the List are still considered as being affected.

**3.1.3 China** - **Document APG19-5/INP-67**

To increase the efficiency of spectrum use, China supports the single method as outlined in the CPM report.

**3.1.4 Japan** - **Document APG19-5/INP-81**

Japan supports the single Method of the CPM Report for Issue K.

**3.1.5 Malaysia** - **Document APG19-5/INP-108**

In order to avoid overprotection of Network SR based on the Part A characteristics which may be outdated and no longer valid, Malaysia and Thailand support to add one more examination under § 4.1.12 and § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** and § 6.21 *c)* of RR Appendix **30B**for the Part B submission which have received as unfavourable finding.

Therefore, Malaysia and Thailand support the single Method of the CPM report for this Issue.

**3.1.6 Thailand** - **Document APG19-5/INP-108**

In order to avoid overprotection of Network SR based on the Part A characteristics which may be outdated and no longer valid, Malaysia and Thailand support to add one more examination under § 4.1.12 and § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** and § 6.21 *c)* of RR Appendix **30B**for the Part B submission which have received as unfavourable finding.

Therefore, Malaysia and Thailand support the single Method of the CPM report for this Issue.

**3.1.7 Viet Nam** - **Document APG19-5/INP-119**

Viet Nam supports method K which adds one more examination under §4.1.12 and 4.2.16 of RR Appendix **30** and**30A** and§ 6.21 c) of RR Appendix **30B** such that should any remaining affected networks whose assignments have been entered in the List before the submission under§4.1.12 and 4.2.16 of RR Appendix **30** and **30A** or § 6.17of RR Appendix **30B**, the Bureau shall further examine if the remaining corresponding assignments in the List are still considered as being affected.

**3.1.8 Korea** - **Document APG19-5/INP-129**

The Republic of Korea supports the single Method in the CPM Report to add one more examination under § 4.1.12 and § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** and § 6.21 c) of RR Appendix **30B** such that should any remaining affected networks whose assignments have been entered in the List before the submission under § 4.1.12 and § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** or § 6.17 of RR Appendix **30B**, the Bureau shall further examine if the remaining corresponding assignments in the List are still considered as being affected.

**3.2 Summary of issues raised during the meeting**

- None.

**4. APT View(s)**

APT Members support the Method in the CPM Report to add one more examination under § 4.1.12 and § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** and § 6.21 c) of RR Appendix **30B** such that should any remaining affected networks whose assignments have been entered in the List before the submission under § 4.1.12 and § 4.2.16 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** or § 6.17 of RR Appendix **30B**, the Bureau shall further examine if the remaining corresponding assignments in the List are still considered as being affected.

**5. Preliminary APT Common Proposal(s)**

